IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.2273 OF 2005
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 301 OF 2005

Bharat Shrikant Naik ..Applicant

Vs.

State of Maharashtra ..Respondents

...

Mr. Madhav Jamdar Advocate for Applicant

Mr. Y.S.Shinde, A.P.P. for the State

...

CORAM: SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI,J.
DATE : JUNE 6,2005

P.C.

1. Heard both sides.

2. The applicant has been convicted under Section 7 and 13(1)(d) read with Section 13(2) of the Prevention of Corruption Act. The applicant has been released on bail by this Court during the pendency of his appeal. It is clarified that in view of the said order, it is made clear that the sentence imposed on the applicant is suspended as envisaged in Section 389 of Code of Criminal Procedure. As far as the prayer for suspension of

conviction is concerned, liberty is granted to the applicant to prefer a fresh application as and when necessity arises.

3. Application is disposed of.

[V.K.TAHILRAMANI,J]